

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION**

**In re: American Honda Motor Co., Inc.,  
CR-V Vibration Marketing and Sales  
Practices Litigation,**

**Case No. 2:15-md-2661**

**Judge Michael H. Watson  
Magistrate Judge Elizabeth P. Deavers**

**This document relates to: ALL CASES.**

**CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER AND PRETRIAL ORDER NO. 7: ORDER  
MEMORIALIZING MAY 2, 2016 TELEPHONE CONFERENCE**

The Court held a telephone conference in this matter on May 2, 2016. This Order memorializes the results of the conference as follows:

The parties informed the Court that discovery is progressing and that Honda is preparing to inspect Plaintiffs' vehicles between now and early June.

Plaintiffs' counsel indicated that Plaintiffs would file their memorandum in opposition to Honda's Motion to Dismiss on or before June 2, 2016. The Court orally granted Honda's Motion for Leave to File Exhibits Manually and has subsequently issued a Notation Order granting the Motion. ECF Nos. 34 and 38. Honda also informed the Court that it intends to file a motion to compel arbitration with respect to six of the named Plaintiffs.

The parties anticipate conducting settlement discussions in early summer.

Finally, the parties indicated that the tag-along case filed in the Eastern District of New York, *Kogan v. Am. Honda Motor Co.*, Case No. 1:16-cv-01413, is in the process of being transferred to this Court.

The next status conference will take place on **THURSDAY, JUNE 2, 2016 at 4:00 p.m. EST** via telephone. The parties shall email a list of participants and their email addresses to the Court at [Watson\\_chambers@ohsd.uscourts.gov](mailto:Watson_chambers@ohsd.uscourts.gov). The Court will issue via email the conference call-in information. As set forth in the Court's October 19, 2015 Case Management Order, the parties are **DIRECTED** to confer prior to the status conference and file with the Court, no later than two business days prior to the conference, a joint agenda of issues to be addressed. Additionally, the Court directs the parties to confer regarding the potential for settlement prior to every status conference and to be prepared to update the Court as to the status of settlement negotiations at the time of each status conference.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**



**MICHAEL H. WATSON, JUDGE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**



**ELIZABETH A. PRESTON DEAVERS  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**