## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

Plaintiff(s),	Case No District Judge Douglas R. Cole Magistrate Judge
v.	
	RULE 26(f) REPORT OF PARTIES (to be filed not later than seven
Defendant(s).	days prior to the preliminary pretrial conference)
1. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f),	, a meeting was held on,
and was attended by:	
, cour	nsel for plaintiff(s),
, cour	nsel for plaintiff(s)
, cour	nsel for plaintiff(s)
, cour	nsel for defendant(s),

2.	The parties:
	have provided the pre-discovery disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1), including a medical package (if applicable).
	will exchange such disclosures by
	are exempt from disclosure under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)(E).
3.	The parties:
	unanimously consent to the jurisdiction of the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. $\S$ 636(c).
	do not unanimously consent to the jurisdiction of the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. $\S$ 636(c).
	unanimously give contingent consent to the jurisdiction of the United States
	Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), for trial purposes only, in the event that the assigned District Judge is unavailable on the date set for trial (e.g., because of other trial settings, civil or criminal).
4.	Recommended cut-off date for filing of motions directed to the pleadings:
5.	Recommended cut-off date for filing any motion to amend the pleadings and/ or to add additional parties:
6.	Recommended discovery plan:
	a. Describe the subjects on which discovery is to be sought and the nature, extent and scope of discovery that each party needs to: (i) make a settlement evaluation, (ii) prepare for case dispositive motions, and (iii) prepare for trial:

b.	What changes should be made, if any, in the limitations on discovery imposed under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or the local rules of this Court, including the limitations to 25 interrogatories/requests for admissions and the limitations of 10 depositions, each lasting no more than one day consisting of seven (7) hours?
c.	Additional recommended limitations on discovery:
d.	Recommended date for the disclosure of lay witnesses:
e.	Describe the areas in which expert testimony is expected and indicate whether each expert had been or will be specifically retained within the meaning of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2).

f.	Recommend	led date for disclosure and report of Plaintiff(s) expert(s):
g.	Recommend	ded date for disclosure and report of Defendant(s) expert(s):
h.	Recommend	led date for disclosure and report of rebuttal expert(s):
i.	discussed of	of Electronically Stored Information: The parties have disclosure, discovery, and preservation of electronically mation, including the form or forms in which it should be
	Yes	
	No	
	i.	The parties have electronically stored information in the following formats:
	ii.	The case presents the following issues relating to disclosure, discovery or preservation of electronically stored information, including the form or forms in which it should be produced:

	Yes
	No
i.	The case presents the following issues relating to claims of privilege or of protection as trial preparation materials:
ii.	Have the parties agreed on a procedure to assert such claims <b>AFTER</b> production?
	No
	Yes
	Yes, and the parties ask that the Court include the agreement in an order.
7. Recommended dis	scovery cut-off date:
8. Recommended dis	spositive motion date:
9. Recommended da	te for status conference (if any):
10. Suggestions as to	type and timing of efforts at Alternative Dispute Resoluti

s a settlement demand been made?				
emand can be made:				
Date by which a response can be made:				
e scheduling or management of this litigation:				
Attorney(s) for Defendants(s):				
Ohio Bar #				
Trial Attorney for				
Ohio Bar #				
Trial Attorney for				
Ohio Bar #				
Trial Attorney for				
Ohio Bar #				
Trial Attorney for				
Ohio Bar #				
Trial Attorney for				