IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION AT DAYTON

	•		
DI -: (*\$\$(-)	Case No.		
Plaintiff(s),	: District Judge Magistrate Judge		
VS.	: RULE 26(f) REPORT OF PARTIES : (to be filed not later than seven (7)		
Defendant(s).	days prior to the preliminary : pretrial conference)		
1. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) and was attended by:	f), a meeting was held on,		
, co	counsel for plaintiff(s)		
, co	_, counsel for plaintiff(s)		
, co	_, counsel for plaintiff(s)		
, co	, counsel for defendant(s)		
, co	, counsel for defendant(s)		
, co	unsel for defendant(s)		
, co	unsel for defendant(s)		

2.	Th	e parties:				
	have provided the pre-discovery disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a) including a medical package (if applicable).					
	_	will exchange such disclosures by				
		are exempt from disclosure under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)(E).				
3.	Th	e parties:				
		unanimously consent to the jurisdiction of the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).				
		do not unanimously consent to the jurisdiction of the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (c).				
		unanimously give contingent consent to the jurisdiction of the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), for trial purposes only, in the event that the assigned District Judge is unavailable on the date set for trial (e.g., because of other trial settings, civil or criminal).				
4.		Recommended cut-off date for filing of motions directed to the pleadings:				
5.		Recommended cut-off date for filing any motion to amend the pleadings and/or to add additional parties:				
6.		Recommended discovery plan:				
		a. Describe the subjects on which discovery is to be sought and the nature, extent and scope of discovery that each party needs to: (1) make a settlement evaluation,(2) prepare for case dispositive motions and (3) prepare for trial:				

What changes should be made, if any, in the limitations on discovery imposed der the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or the local rules of this Court, cluding the limitations to 40 interrogatories/requests for admissions and the nitation of 10 depositions, each lasting no more than one day consisting of yen (7) hours?
Additional recommended limitations on discovery:
Recommended date for disclosure of lay witnesses.

e. Describe the areas in which expert testimony is expected and indicate whether each expert has been or will be specifically retained within the meaning of Fed. R.

Civ. P. 26(a)(2).				
f. Recommended date for making primary expert designations:				
g. Recommended date for making rebuttal expert designations:				
h. The parties have electronically stored information in the following formats:				
The case presents the following issues relating to disclosure or discovery of electronically stored information, including the form or forms in which it should be produced:				

	Have the parties agreed on a procedure to assert such claims AFTER production
	No
	Yes
	Yes, and the parties ask that the Court include the agreement in an order.
	j. Recommended discovery cut-off date:
6.	Recommended dispositive motion date:
7.	Recommended date for status conference (if any):
8.	Suggestions as to type and timing of efforts at Alternative Dispute Resolution:

10.	Has a settlement demand	been made?	A response?		
	Date by which a settlement demand can be made:				
	Date by which a response	Date by which a response can be made:			
11.	Other matters pertinent to scheduling or management of this litigation:				
	,				
Signatures:					
Attorney for	Plaintiff(s):	Attorney	for Defendant(s)		
Ohio Bar # Trial Attorne	y for	Ohio Bar Trial Atto			
Ohio Bar # Trial Attorney for			Ohio Bar # Trial Attorney for		
Ohio Bar #		Ohio Bar	#		
Trial Attorne	y for	Trial Atto			
Ohio Bar #	y for	Ohio Bar			
Trial Attorney for		IIIai Atto	Trial Attorney for		